ರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ; ಬೇನಾಮಿ ಬಾಡಿಗೆದಾರರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಮ್ಮ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಇನಾಮ್ ಸಾಬಿಗೂ ಗೋಕುಲಾಷ್ಟಮಿಗೂ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದೀರಾ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರ್.—ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಮೋಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ.ಎಂ. ಇಬ್ರಾಹಿಂ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿ, ನಮಗೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಾ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್.ಎಸ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರ ವಿಚಾರಕ್ಕೂ ನಮಗೂ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಇದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಅಕ್ರಮ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅವರು ಷಾಪ್ಸ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಕಮರ್ಷಿಯಲ್ ಎಸ್ಟಾಬ್ಲಿಷ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಕೆಳಗೆ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಕನಿಷ್ಟ ವೇತನ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಮೈನ್‌ಟೈನ್ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಮೊದಲಿದ್ದಂತಹ ಎಲ್ಲ ಜನರನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಜನರನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ರೀತಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಅಕ್ರಮಗಳು ಕೂಡ ಇದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಾನೂನಿಗೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಅವನಿಗೆ ಷೋಕಾಸ್ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆತನನ್ನು ಒಮ್ಮೆಯೇ ಹೊರಗೆ ಹಾಕಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಮೊದಲು ಷೋಕಾಸ್ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ವಿವಿಧವಾದ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಇದೆ. ಈ ಕನ್‌ಸಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್ ಇದೇ ಬೇರೆ, ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದೇ ಬೇರೆ. ಮಾಲೀಕರೂ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರು ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಬರಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯ ಇದೆಯೇ ಎಂದು ಕನ್‌ಸಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿ, ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರನ್ನು ಒಳಗಡೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ, ನಂತರ ಈಗ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರತಕ್ಕವರನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಹಾಕುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ೧೯ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಕನ್‌ಸಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್ ಮೀಟಿಂಗ್ ಲೇಬರ್ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಅದು ಇತ್ಯರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎನ್ನುವ ನಂಬಿಕೆ ಇದೆ. ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಕ್ಷಣ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮಾಡಿ ಯೋಗ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಎಂದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಷ್ಟಪಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

MATTER UNDER RULE 312

re: holding of two examinations for final LL.B. of the Bangalore University.

† SRI A. LAKSHMISAGAR (Chickpet).—Sir, I wish to raise a matter under 312 pertaining to holding of two examinations for III LL.B. of Bangalore University once in May and again in July, 1979.

The Law College was started, I think, in 1948. Since then the order of examinations for law students has been 1st year, second year and third year. This was the order in which the examinations were held. From the date of the announcement of the results and the date of commencement of the examination a minimum period of 6 weeks was allowed for students to obtain their marks card, find out the mistakes if any, in the marks card, apply for retotalling and enabling the students to then face their examinations, well within time A minimum of six weeks' time was allowed to students between the date of the announcement of results and the date of examination, for this purpose. This has not been done this year. III LL.B. examination was to be held in October–November, 1978, but actually it was held in January 1979. The results were announced on 14–4–1979 and on 23–4–1979 marks cards were issued. The examination time-table was announced on 19–4–1979, without giving sufficient time for the students to apply for re-totalling of marks and to get corrections in the marks card, and to give examination fee. These are the diffi-

culties of the students. They made representions to the Vice-chancellor and also to the Hon'ble Minister for Education. They also met the Chancellor of the University, and in spite of these representations, the Vice-Chancellor has turned a deaf ear to their representations. I am connected with teaching Law in one of the premier Law Colleges in Bangalore. The grievances are well-founded and it was within the purview of the Vice-Chancellor of the University to accede to their request. A reasonable time should have been given to the students. The Syndicate has decided to hold an examination on 21st May and another examination to commence on 3-7-1979. This is, to say the least, ridiculous. Holding the law examination twice within a range of six weeks, results in duplication of work. This is academically unsound and it leads to waste of time and money and all these things can be avoided by holding the examination only once *ie.*, in July 1979. This is what I want raise and I request the Hon'ble Minister to reply.

† SRI B. SUBBAIAH SHETTY (Minister for Education).—The Law College students from Bangalore have represented to the Vice-Chancellor, Bangalore University that their examinations which was posted on 21st May, 1979 to be postponed further. They also came and met me and gave the representation. I had forwarded that representation to the Office of the Chancellor to consider and later on, it was reported to us that on the 15th May, 1979, the student representatives of the various law colleges in the City went and met the Members of the Syndicate, Bangalore University regarding the question of holding LL B., Degree Examinations and after some time, I learnt that it was agreed to have the LL.B., Degree Examinations for the First, Second and Final Year courses on 21st May, 1979 as scheduled and for those students who don't intend to take the examinations on that day and who would like to have the postponement of the examination should take the examination on the 3rd July 1979, and I learn that they agreed for that formula. But, however, it was also said that those studen s intending to take this examination of Final Year LL.B., on 3rd July, 1979 should intimate their option in writing to the Principals of the respective Colleges. A Press Note also was issued to this effect by the Bangalore University and also an announcement was made in All India Radio. That is what I learnt. Later on, in the Press Report, I saw that the Action Committee of the students had welcomed that move and have agreed to withdraw their agitation. This matter came up in the other House yesterday and the entire House felt like the hon'ble Member. It was told in the other House that the feelings of the entire House regarding the examination to be held in one day would be communicated to the Chancellor and also the Vice-Chancellor. It is being done during the course of to-day.

SRI A. LAKSHMISAGAR —I have a complaint against the Vice-Chancellor of the Bangalore University. When some of my students along with the other students, particularly the III LL.B., students, went and sought an interview with him, in the initial stage, he did not allow ; But, somehow, the students managed to go and met him and he was not in a mood to give a patient hearing to the students. What is expected of the Vice-Chancellor of a University ? He appears to be a thorough breadbureaucartic, insensitive to very explosive situation. Dealing with the students is not an easy matter and to deal with them, he must have tact, patience and he must be able to convince them and talk to them and in this instance, he has thoroughly exhibited his incapacity to handle the situation and he has not been able to concede to the reasonable requests of the students. What has happened after this exercise is over ? Examinations are to be held twice for the same subject in two batches. Some Students may like to take the examination on the 21st and some of them may not like to take the examination on that date but the total result would be duplication of the work, accommodation will not be available, and there will be delay in the announcement of the results and there will be delay in the re-opening of the Colleges which is scheduled to start in the month of July All these things will be in total disarray. This is the total difficulty that we have to face. If the Vice-Chancellor had acted promptly and with tact. all these bad blood would have been avoided and things would have been a different turn and smoothly examinations would have gone on. Therefore, I should like to express my anger, resentment at the attitude of the Vice-Chancellor in dealing with the students and I should impress upon the Hon. Minister that he must take every step to see that this Vice-Chancellor is removed from his Office. He has rendered himself unfit to occupy the August Office of the Vice-Chancellor which he is holding, by his conduct towards students. Therefore, I very strongly urge upon the Hon. Minister for Education to take up this matter and see that things are done in a smooth fashion without any friction or without any exhibition of anger or discontentment among the students particularly in a matter like this. Therefore, there is imperative necessity of holding the examination on one day particularly relating to Third LL.B,, students and there is no point in holding the examination twice over within a period of six weeks. This is unheard of and it is ridiculous, I have been a Professor of Law for the last 18 years and I have not heard of any such thing at any time in Bangalore University since the Law College was established in the year 1948. Therefore, I very much like the Hon. Minister to take up this issue. I know the limitation under which the Hon. Minister is functioning

because there is an Universities Act. But, all the same he must use the high office he is holding and takeup the matter and see that Justice is done and other difficulties are obviated.

SRI B. SUBBAIAH SHETTY.—The hon Member has expressed his feelings very strongly. We take note of it. We also appreciate his feelings that it is the duty I should say of anybody who is dealing with the students, who is concerned with the students, either a Lecturer, a Principal or a Professor or a Vice-Chancellor or a Minister to give a patient listening to the students. That is very much essential and I don't know the thing which has been said that the students are not being listened. It is not proper Students should be listened and their grievance as far as should be viewed sympathetically. That is very much essential and I would convey the feelings which has been expressed by the hon. Member to the Chancellor. I have already stated that the consensus which have been expressed in the other House will be conveyed to the Chancellor i.e., about the date of the examination and their feelings regarding the University and I shall also convey the feeling which has been expressed by the hon. Member to the Chancellor.

SRI A. LAKSHMISAGAR.—May I hope that the examination for the IIIrd LL.B., students will be held on 3rd July ?

SRI B. SUBBAIAH SHETTY.—I may not be able to answer that question because it is not in my hands. Anyway, I shall convey the feelings to the Chancellor.

Taking up a notice about hunger strike by District farmers Association, Bellary.

ಶ್ರೀ ಭೀಮಣ್ಣ ಖಂಡ್ರೆ (ಭಾಲ್ಕಿ). –ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೆ, ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಸತತ ಅನ್ಯಾಯದ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿ.....

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ತಾವು ನೋಟಿಸ್ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಏನನ್ನೂ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವತ್ತಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ನಂ. ೪೨, ೪೩, ೪೪ ಹಾಗೂ ೪೫ರ ಮೇಲೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಈಗ ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

SRI A. LAKSHMISAGAR (Chickpet).—The complaint of the hon. Member Sri Bhimanna Khandre is that he has written to you for raising a matter and he also says that you have admitted that motion but no time has been fixed for the purpose of taking that matter before the House. That appears to be his main grievance. He has got other grievances but I am not going to say about them. But, this appears to be his main grievance. My request to the Chair is this : This matter may kindly be listed on some particular day and be done with that. This is my submission.